

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	1
10/718,594	11/24/2003	Gary Richard Clarke	ACE-19480	ACE-19480 1017	
10361	7590 09/09/2004		EXAMINER		
ANTONY C	. EDWARDS		THOMAS, DAVID B		
SUITE 800 -	1708 DOLPHIN AVEN	UE			_
KELOWNA,	BC V1Y 9S4		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA			3723		

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	10			
	10/718,594	CLARKE ET AL.	$(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}})$			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David B. Thomas	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ар Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence addre	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI te, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this comm NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 I	November 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	·	• •	erits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 November 2003</u> is hardered. Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square α or drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment/c)						
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/24/03. 	Paper No(s)/l	Mail Date prmal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/718,594 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because solid black shading areas are not permitted. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1 and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: Lines 4 and 5 of the first clause of each of the claims recite, "may be inserted". The examiner respectfully contends that such language does not constitute a positive limitation.

Furthermore, the examiner also notes that regarding the hole being for the purpose of inserting a user's finger is merely a recitation of the intended use, or purpose, for the hole, and as such does not further limit the structure of the hole. Therefore, a prior art apparatus, which satisfies the claimed structural limitations, meets the claimed limitation.

See *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987) Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/718,594

Art Unit: 3723

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bane, III (5,237,715), Hull et al. (5,272,942), and Lewis (4,125,913).

Bane, III ('715), Hull et al. ('942), and Lewis ('913) each disclose a multi purpose hand tool comprising an elongate generally cylindrical handle having opposite first and second ends, the handle having a hole therethrough located substantially mid-way along the length of the handle, the first and second ends having driving tools mounted thereon, the hole having a bore axis substantially perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the handle.

5. Claims 1-3, 9-14, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Her (5,086,674).

Her ('674) discloses the invention as claimed.

6. Claims 1-7 and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (4,741,059).

Lee et al. ('059) disclose the multi purpose hand tool as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al ('059), as applied to claims 1-7 and 12-18 above, in view of Lavietes (3,799,226).

Art Unit: 3723

Lee et al ('059), as applied to claims 1-7 and 12-18 above, disclose the invention as claimed, except for the provision of a ratchet for the fastener driving means. Lavietes ('226) discloses a multiple blade tool and teaches the provision of a ratchet drive for the fastener driving means. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the multi purpose tool of Lee et al. ('059) by providing a ratchet for the fastener driving means, as taught by Lavietes ('226), in order to permit positive drive in both directions and one way ratchet drive in either direction.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Beck, Itabashi, and Lin each disclose a multi purpose tool which incorporates a hammer and a plurality of driver attachments. Bellows, Engquist, Fleck, Hsieh, and Norris each disclose a multi purpose tool having an opening in the handle. Beard, Creel, Mathis et al., Pruim, Rast, Trujillo et al., and Wilkens each disclose a tool having a hole or opening for receiving a user's finger, or fingers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David B. Thomas whose telephone number is (703) 308-4250. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-4 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jy Thi David B. Thomas Patent Examiner Art Unit 3723